

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/656,514	SCHROMEN ET AL.
	Examiner Árpád Fábián Kovács	Art Unit 3671

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Árpád Fábián Kovács.

(3) _____.

(2) Wendy Marsh.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14-19

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner informed Applicant that during the Appeal Conference, claims 1-10, 20-22 were determined to be allowable, while claims 14-19 are not relevant to the invention set forth in claims 1-10, 20-22. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 14-19.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)